



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,858	05/30/2001	Todd D. Andersen	P1065	8680

7590 11/14/2007  
Todd N. Hathaway  
119 N. Commercial St., #620  
Bellingham, WA 98225-4437

EXAMINER
----------

BRITTAIN, JAMES R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3677

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/14/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Response to Rule 312 Communication</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/870,858	ANDERSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	James R. Brittain	3677	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 12 October 2007 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

- a) ☐ entered.
- b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
- c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.  
Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.
- d) ☒ disapproved. See explanation below.
- e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

*The amendment document is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item is required.*

*The amendments to the specification do not comply with 37 CFR 1.121(b)(1)(ii). Applicant has failed to provide the full text of the replacement paragraphs with markings to show all the changes relative to the previous version of the paragraph. Instead the amendment appears to propose to change only a single sentence within each multi-sentence paragraph, leaving out entirely the remaining sentences in the paragraph. The replacement paragraph must account for the entire text of the previous version of the paragraph and the proposed replacement paragraphs fail to do so. Additionally, the underlined subject matter in each replacement paragraph is also placed within double brackets and therefore fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.121(b)(1)(ii) because such editing indicates that the underlined subject matter existed in the previous version of the paragraph and is now being deleted while simultaneously indicating that the underlined subject matter is being added to the previous version of the paragraph.*



**DANIEL P. STODOLA  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**

/James R. Brittain/  
James R. Brittain  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 3677